

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| 10/769,377 | 01/31/2004 | Alan Dry | 02-022.14 | 7892 | |
| 35374 | 7590 08/31/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| LEAR CORPORATION, BLISS MCGLYNN, P.C. 2075 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD | | | HEITBRINK, | HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W | |
| SUITE 600 | | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| TROY, MI | 48084 | | 1722 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| M | , |
|---|---|
| | 1 |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/769,377 | DRY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Tim Heitbrink | 1722 | |

| Advisory Action | 10/769,377 | DRY ET AL. | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| · | Tim Heitbrink | 1722 | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | Iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | | • | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mug date of the final rejection. | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 26(a) and the engrapsis | uto outonoian foo |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, of | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO` w); | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | * ** | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all proposed or amended claim(s) | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the contro | | l be entered and an e | explanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | | | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | • • • | · · | 0 |
| | • | Tim Kirbrick | , _ |
| | | Tim Heitbrink Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1722 | |
| | | AIL OHK. 1144 | |

8-29-06

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the addition of a cover stock raises new issues.